Discussion on Provo Canyon springs is delayed

By Brooke Adams
Deseret News staff writer

PROVO — A discussion on Provo City's springs in Provo Canyon and how they may be affected by relocation of the Olmsted aqueduct has been postponed for one week.

The City Council scheduled a public discussion on the relocation work and on contracts being negotiated between Provo and the Central Utah Water Conservancy District for its meeting Tuesday after a resident requested a public hearing on the matter. But council member Gordon Bullock, acting chairman, said he received a half dozen calls from people who felt the discussion item had not been adequately publicized. Some callers said they

were unable to attend Tuesday's meeting and asked that the discussion be rescheduled, Bullock said.

The council agreed to do so, and the item will be discussed during the meeting Tuesday, Oct. 10.

Some residents are concerned that the quality and volume of the city's springs, located near Nunn's Park, will be negatively affected when the district buries a one-mile section of the green pipe in the mountain on the north side of the canyon from just north of Bridal Veil Falls to Canyon Glen Park. The city gets an average of 2,000 acre-feet of water a year from the springs — enough water for 6,000 people.

This stretch of pipe is located on a major

landslide that has moved as much as 10 feet a day during wet years, causing structural damage to the pipe.

The contracts being drawn up will give the district an easement on two pieces of Provo city property located in the relocation area.

Studies performed by a district engineer indicate spring production may be disrupted during construction but that the springs will not suffer permanent damage. That conclusion has been confirmed by an independent geologist hired by Provo City, said Mayor Joe Jenkins.

Jenkins said the geologist, Bruce Kaliser, formerly with the state Geological and Mineral Survey, found the construction would have "nil impact on the springs."

"The district has agreed to place monitors to measure amount and quality (of the springs) in the drilling range area," Jenkins said. The monitors will provide a comparison of spring water production before and after the construction project.

"If it does impact the springs, the district has agreed to supplant the spring water with other water," Jenkins said.

Provo City also is negotiating an agreement with the district to divert some of its shares of Provo River water through the aqueduct to the treatment plant and on into Provo via an interconnect line — thus making "beneficial use" of that water, a requirement for retaining rights to water in Utah. Work on the interconnect line could begin this year, Jenkins said.

Vater agreement dripping with controversy

By MARK EDDINGTON The Daily Herald

the deal is a contract with disaster. Councilman James Daley thinks ply water to Midway condomiagreement with developers to suptrict officials are calling their niums good for the city, but City Provo Metropolitan Water Dis-

all water owned by the district. nies are proposing to build near Midway. The agreement is renewand Alpenhof Development Comable on an annual basis and was Georgetown Development Inc. Provo has first right of refusal on signed with the understanding that water to condominiums the compapany to supply 134.9 acre feet of ered into a one-year contract with District officials recently en-

the terms of the contract state. off the tap is much more difficult han turning it on, no matter what Daley's concern is that turning

signed contract is," Daley said "I don't care how good their

> going to let them turn it off." "The first time a teen-ager up there takes a shower and the disget a restraining order. No judge is water off, they'll go to court and trict says they are going to cut the

and feels that Provo is adequately to the water. He said the city attorstate law gives cities priority rights tween the district and developers Merril Bingham said such conprotected. ney has reviewed the contract becerns are unwarranted because Provo Public Works Director

Creek Reservoir. about 1.7 percent of the 8,000 sold to the developers represents amount. In addition, the water tobe roughly 13 times what the district an annual cost of \$26,980. That is would charge the city for the same acre-feet the district owns in Deer Metropolitan will sell the water at der terms of the agreement, Provo moneymaker for the district. Un-Bingham said the deal is also a

"The developers see this as a

why it wasn't put on the open mardoesn't need the water, Daley asks ket and sold to the highest bidder. If the deal is so good and Provo

water?" he asks. guys, who are they going to sell to they cut and still protect Provo's next? How many of these deals can "If they're going to sell to these

and Provo Metropolitan manager Plenty, according to Bingham

which the city has the first right of so the last thing they want to do is source of water," Bingham explained. "This water is expensive, become dependent on water to they can find a more permanent temporary measure for them until

source, but ultimately it will be the termine who gets the water when it He said there is nothing to guaranbecomes available in 1996. cities in Wasatch County that deordanelle Dam is one possibile tap into other water sources. The tee the developers will be able to But Daley sees trouble ahead

state," he said. Utahns and keeping it in the "At least we are selling it to

dizes Provo's supply of water take the blame if anything jeoparwithout any say from the mayor district's freedom to cut deals Member's of the district's board and council, who he maintains will arguments. He disagrees with the Daley is not swayed by such of the deals it has made like this member board has not elected to Chuck Henson. The district operates autonomously from the city do so, Bingham added, is because taxes. That the district's fiveand has the full authority to levy

> to the city. Bingham said districts are appointed to six-year terms by the mayor but are not answerable

were deliberately set up that way to

prevent water from becoming a po-

litical issue.

zens would be better served if wa-

"The Legislature thought citi-

Even with the deal, there is no

to such a sale. said the city would probably object selling water to the highest bidder willing to pay for the water. But he Georgetown and Alpenhof are probably pay four times what money could have been made by California, for example, would Henson concedes that more

hof will have any condominiums guarantee Georgetown and Alpenpoliticians," he said. ter was not subject to the whims of

annex into the city more than 100 condominiums on ber - land Midway is trying to property between Midway and Hedevelopers are proposing to build for which to supply water. The

sue will have to be resolved before city requires developers to supply Before approving any project, the and the developers' water deal. city officials decide whether to ap-Deschamps said the annexation istheir own water. prove the proposed condominiums Midway City Planner Randy

Water District Calls For State Regulations To Prevent Provo River Spills and Contaminatio

The Central Utah Water Conservancy District board of directors has adopted a resolution urging the state to regulate hazardous substances transported through Provo

The board unanimously ratified the resolution, calling for water officials to be notified immediately in case of a potentially dangerous spill, because a tractor-trailer crashed and spilled ammonium nitrate into the Provo River June 8.

Don A. Christiansen, general manager of the district, said the incident "underscores the potential danger of contamination to a major source of water with a single

accident...It's in the public interest that the water district board asks the state to consider measures, regulations and permits to protect the water supply of Utah County and Salt Lake County from spills and contamination that could occur from the transport of hazardous and toxic substances and materials through Provo Canyon...We want to prevent a similar incident from ever taking place."

He has sent letters to Wasatch County Sheriff Mike Spanos, as well as the Utah Department of Public Safety and the Utah County Sheriff, asking them to notify the

district as soon as a car or truck plunges into Deer Creek Reservoir, the Provo River or one of its tributaries.

According to a district news-letter, the June 8 incident forced the district to close its water transmission facilities from Provo Canyon to the Jordan Valley Water Treatment plant in Orem.

"The Jordan Valley Treatment Plant came within several hours of being shut down for lack of water and the Utah Valley Treatment Plant had to switch to an alternate source of water as a result of the truck accident," said Christiansen.